

Application No.: 10/620753
Amendment dated: May 27, 2005
Reply to Office action of March 8, 2005


REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The rejection under section 112 is understood as based on the fact that it is not clear from the original language of claim 1 whether the recited "opening" is an opening of the hole, or some other opening formed in the housing. The amendment to claim makes it clear that the opening is an opening of the hole.

A terminal disclaimer is submitted herewith to address the obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

The applicants respectfully request favorable reconsideration and allowance of this application.

Respectfully submitted,
HOWSON & HOWSON

By 
George A. Smith, Jr.
Reg. No. 24,442
Howson & Howson
Box 457
Spring House, PA 19477
Telephone: 215 540 9200
Facsimile: 215 540 5818

Enclosure:
terminal disclaimer